LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT CHECKLIST

A checklist for identifying nonstructural stormwater management strategies incorporated into proposed land development.

Municipality:	Township of Lawrence
County:	Mercer County Date: 10/9/20
Review board or agency:	Township of Lawrence Zoning Board of Adjustment
Proposed land developme	nt name: Proposed Residential Development
Lot(s): 3, 60-66	& 68 Block(s): 2001
Project or application num	aber: 1279-99-010
Applicant's name:	RPM Development, LLC
rppireant s name.	Id IV Development, EDC
Applicant's address:	77 Park Street
	Montclair, NJ 07042
Telephone:	Fax:
Email address:	kkavanaugh@rpmdev.com
Designer's name:	Thomas J. Muller PE, PP
	Dynamic Engineering Consultants, PC
Designer's address:	1904 Main Street
	Lake Como, NJ 07719
Telephone:	(732) 974-0198 Fax: (732) 974-5321
Email address:	tmuller@dynamicec.com

Part 1: Description of Nonstructural Approach to Site Design

In narrative form, provide an overall description of the nonstructural stormwater management approach and strategies incorporated into the proposed site's design. Attach additional pages as necessary. Details of each nonstructural strategy are provided in Part 3 below.

The proposed development will minimize impacts to the existing pervious areas to the maximum extent possible. The proposed development provides landscaped areas to provide pervious coverage. The impervious coverage has been minimized as much as possible to meet the intent of the design. The development was designed with consideration to the existing drainage patterns and to ensure the preservation of existing natural features to maximum extent practical. During construction, existing natural features will be protected by silt fences, tree protection fences, and haybale sediment barriers. The decrease in the time of concentration has been minimized by maintaining existing overland flow slopes to the maximum extent practical. The time to peak for stormwater exiting the site is increased under proposed conditions. The project has been designed to minimize land disturbance to the maximum extent practicable by limiting disturbance in areas that are generally currently disturbed. Land outside of the proposed limit of disturbance and limit of clearing will be protected during construction by silt fences. Soil compaction is to occur within the footprint area of the proposed development including the areas beneath the proposed parking lot areas. The remaining areas outside of the limit of disturbance (LOD) will remain undisturbed and in a natural condition. Landscaped areas will be graded with lightweight construction equipment in order to avoid unnecessary soil compaction. All exposed areas will be stabilized with temporary and/or permanent seeding or mulch upon completion of construction. The proposed landscaping design has been prepared to provide an aesthetic improvement to the interior and perimeter of the site through use of native species and other low maintenance vegetation.

Part 2: Review of Local Stormwater Management Regulations

Title and date of stormwater management regulations used in development design:

Stormwater Management Regulations N.J.A.C. 7:8, dated June 20, 2016

Do regulations include nonstructural requirements? Yes: X No:
If yes, briefly describe: Proposing minimal impervious coverage, minimizing land
clearing and soil compaction, maximize the protection of natural features drainage and
minimize the decrease in the pre-development time of concentration.
List LID-BMPs prohibited by local regulations:
Pre-design meeting held: Yes: Date: No:
Meeting held with:
Pre-design site walk held: Yes: X Date: 5/30/2019 No:
Site walk held with: Dynamic Survey, LLC
Other agencies with stormwater review jurisdiction:
Name: Township of Lawrence Zoning Board of Adjustment
Required approval: Preliminary and Final Major Site Plan and Subdivision Approval
Name: Mercer County Planning Department
Required approval: Site Plan and Subdivision Approval
Name: New Jersey Department of Transportation
Required approval: Subdivision Approval
Name: Delaware and Raritan Canal Commission

Required approval: DRCC Approva	al								
Name: New Jersey Department of	f Enviro	onment	al Pro	tection					
Required approval: Flood Hazard A	rea Ve	rificatio	on, Fr	eshwat	er Wet	lands L	etter of		
Interpretation, Treatment Works A								rina	
interpretation, Treatment works P	хрргоча	ii i Cilii	n, Du	Cau OI	water	System	Liiginee	ring	
		_							
3: Nonstructural Strat	egies	and	LII)-BN	APs i	in De	esign		
3.1 Vegetation and Landscapi	ng								
Effective management of both development's adverse impacts on This section of the checklist help nonstructural LID-BMPs that have to help maintain existing recharg quantity and pollutant loading.	ground s ident been in	dwater ify the icorpor	recha vege ated is	rges a tation nto the	nd rune and las propos	off quandscapi	lity and ong strategelopment'	quantity. gies and s design	
A. Has an inventory of existing site	vegetat	ion bee	n perfo	rmed?	,	Yes:	_X	No:	
If yes, was this inventory a factor	or in the	site's la	yout a	nd desig	gn?	Yes:	_X	No:	
B. Does the site design utilize any	of the fo	llowing	nonst	ructura	l LID-B	MPs?			
Preservation of natural areas?	Yes:	X	No:		If yes,	specify	% of site:	7.	9%
Native ground cover?							% of site:		9%
Vegetated buffers?							% of site:		9%
÷									
C. Do the land development regula	tions red	quire the	ese noi	ıstructu	ıral LID	-BMPs?			
Preservation of natural areas?	Yes:		No:	X	If yes,	specify	% of site:	N	
Native ground cover?	Yes:		No:	X	If yes,	specify	% of site:		

Vegetated buffers? Yes: ____ No: _X __ If yes, specify % of site: _____

Part

D.	If vegetated filter strips or buffers are utilized, specify their functions:	N/A			
	Reduce runoff volume increases through lower runoff coefficient:	Yes:	<u> </u>	No:	
	Reduce runoff pollutant loads through runoff treatment:	Yes:	-	No:	
	Maintain groundwater recharge by preserving natural areas:	Yes:	-	No:	
			:5		
3.2	Minimize Land Disturbance				
deve ident inco	imizing land disturbance is a nonstructural LID-BMP that can be lopment's construction and post-construction phases. This section the second disturbance strategies and nonstructural LID-reported into the proposed development's design to minimize latent change in the site's hydrologic character.	on of the BMPs	e checkl that ha	ist helps ve been	} L
A_{i}	Have inventories of existing site soils and slopes been performed?	Yes:	X	No:	
	If yes, were these inventory a factor in the site's layout and design?	Yes:	X	No:	
В.	Does the development's design utilize any of the following nonstructure. Restrict permanent site disturbance by land owners?	ral LIB- es:	BMPs?	No:	X
	restrict permanent site disturbance by fund owners:	-		140.	Λ
	If yes, how: Restrict temporary site disturbance during construction?	es:	X	No:	
	If yes, how: <u>Inlet protection</u> , <u>silt fences</u> , <u>tree protection fence</u> , <u>and stabentrances</u> will be constructed to limit the construction activities to with <u>disturbance area and to protect undeveloped areas</u> .			on	
	Consider soils and slopes in selecting disturbance limits?	es:	X	No:	
	If yes, how: The site development is designed to minimize disturbance	e to the	surroundi	ng areas	
	including Texas Avenue and adjacent properties and incorporate open	space a	reas into	the	
	design.				
C.	Specify percentage of site to be cleared: 82.8%	Regra	ded:	82.89	V ₀
D.	Specify percentage of cleared areas done so for buildings:	18	.8% (32,	117 SF)	

	For driveways and parking:	11.4% (19,437 SF)	9	5.6% (9,55	8 SF)
	What design criteria and/or site on the access drives and parking and pedestrian circulation and parting	areas provide the m		with St. of		
F.	Specify site's hydrologic soil gro	up (HSG) percentage	es:			
	HSG A: HSG	i B:0%	HSG C/D:	0%	HSG D:	100%
G.	Specify percentage of each HSG	that will be permane	ently disturbed:			
	HSG A: N/A HSG	B: N/A	HSG C:	N/A	HSG D:	100%
Н.	Locating site disturbance within disturbance within areas with greenerge rates and reduce runoff what other practical measures if a Does the site include Karst topogodiff yes, discuss measures taken to	eater permeable soils volume increases. I any can be taken to a graphy?	(HSG A and B in light of the Handler achieve this?) can help m	aintain ground ^r ges in F and G	water
3.3	Minimize Land Disturband	ce				
recha nonst	impervious surfaces at a devarge and stormwater quality cructural strategies and LIB-BM mprehensively manage the extension	and quantity. The street of the Tank and Tank an	his section of incorporated	f the checi	klist helps ic osed developi	dentify those
A.	Specify impervious cover at site:	Existing:6	9% Propose	d: <u>Lot A:</u>	74.4% Lot B	: 44.4%
В.	Specify maximum site imperviou	is coverage allowed	by regulations:	75	ne: 70% (lots < 5% (lots > 5 Ac R-4 Zone: 50%	
C.	Compare proposed street cartway	y widths with those r	equired by regu	ılations:		

Type of Street	Proposed Cartway Width (feet)	Required Cartway Width (feet)
Residential access – low intensity	N/A	
Residential access – medium intensity	N/A	
Residential access – high intensity with parking	N/A	
Residential access - high intensity without parking	N/A	
Neighborhood	N/A	
Minor collector – low intensity without parking	N/A	
Minor collector – with one parking lane	N/A	
Minor collector – with two parking lanes	N/A	
Minor collector – without parking	N/A	
Major collector	N/A	

D.	Compare proposed pa	rking space dimensions wit	th those req	uired by regulatior	ns:	
	Proposed:	9' x 18'	Regu	lations:	9' x 18'	
E.	Compare proposed no	umber of parking spaces wit	th those rea	uired by regulation	ne.	
E.			•		15.	
	Proposed: 59 spaces	on site, 43 spaces on shopping ce	nter property	Regulations:	141	
F.	Specify percentage of	total site impervious cover	created by	buildings:	18.8% (32,117 S	SF)
	D 11	15 00/ (00 005	OE)	70 1	007	
	By driveways and par	king: 17.0% (28,995	SF)	By roadways:	0%	
G.	Nothing. The access of	and/or site changes would b drives and parking areas pro ian circulation and parking	ovide the mi			egarding
	72					
H.	Specify percentage of	total impervious area that	will be unco	onnected:		
	Total site: 0%	Buildings: _0%	Driveways :	and parking: <u>0%</u>	Roads:	0%

Specify percentage of total impervious area that will be porous:

I.

	Total site:0% Buildings:0% Driveways and parking:	0% Roads: _	0%_
J.	Specify percentage of building roof area that will be vegetated:	0%	
K.	Specify percentage of total parking area located beneath buildings:	0%	
L.	Specify percentage of total parking located within multi-level parking deck:	0%	
3.4	Time of Concentration Modifications		
whic dowi LID-	reasing a site's time of concentration (Tc) can lead directly to increase th, in turn, can create new and/or aggravate existing erosion and instream. This section of the checklist helps identify those nonstruct BMPs that have been incorporated into the proposed development's demize such Tc decreases.	flooding problems ural strategies and	
Tc s	n reviewing Tc modification strategies, it is important to remember the hould reflect the general conditions throughout the area. As a result generally be applied throughout a drainage area, not just along a speci	, Te modifications	
A.	Specify percentage of site's total stormwater conveyance system length that	will be:	
	Storm sewer: 32.8% (966 SF) Vegetated swale: 4.81% (142 SF)	Natural channel:	
	Stormwater management facility: 62.4% (1840 SF) Other:		
	Note: The total length of the stormwater conveyance system should be mean downstream property line to the downstream limit of sheet flow at the system		
В.	What design criteria and/or site changes would be required to reduce the storincrease the vegetated swale and natural channel percentages in A above?	rm sewer percentages	and
	Nothing. An existing manmade drainage ditch is maintained downstream of detention basin. The proposed stormwater conveyance system provides the rin order to meet the stormwater runoff requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:8 and the Ordinance.	ninimum required stru	uctures
C.	In conveyance system subareas that have overland or sheet flow over imperv	vious surfaces or turf g	grass,

Decrease overland flow slope: The overland flow slope within the proposed parking areas are minimized to the maximum extent practicable while providing enough pitch so that the stormwater runoff will be conveyed to the proposed stormwater management facilities.

Increase overland flow roughness: The overland flow roughness within the proposed drive aisles is minimized to the maximum extent practicable while providing enough pitch so that the stormwater runoff will be conveyed to the proposed inlets.

3.5 Preventive Source Controls

The most effective way to address water quality concerns is by pollution prevention. This section of the checklist helps identify those nonstructural strategies and LIB-BMPs that have been incorporated into the proposed development's design to reduce the exposure of pollutants to prevent their release into the stormwater runoff.

A.	Trash Receptacles				
	Specify the number	er of trash receptacles provided:	1		
	Specify the spacin	g between the trash receptacles:	N/A		
	Compare trash rec	eptacles proposed with those requ	ired by regulatio	ns:	
	Proposed:	15' x 20'	Regulations:	N/S	
В.	Pet Waste Stations				
	Specify the number	er of pet waste stations provided:	1		
	Specify the spacin	g between the pet waste stations:	N/A		
	Compare pet wast	e stations proposed with those req	uired by regulati	ons:	
	Proposed:	_1	Regulations:	N/S	
C.	Inlets, Trash Racks	and other Devices that Prevent D	ischarge of Larg	e Trash and Debris	
	Specify percentag	e of total inlets that comply with t	he NJPDES stori	n drain inlet criteria:	_100%

D. Maintenance

	Specify the frequen	cy of the following maintenance acti	vities:					
	Street sweeping:	Proposed: At Owner's Discre	tion Regula	ations: Not Specified				
	Litter collection:	Proposed: At Owner's Discre	tionRegul	ations: Not Specified				
	Identify other storm debris: Proposed undergrou	nwater management measures on the	site that prevent	discharge of large trash and				
E.	Prevention and Con	atainment of Spills						
	Identify locations where pollutants are located on the site, and the features that prevent these pollutants from being exposed to stormwater runoff:							
	Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A				
	Feature utilized to p	prevent pollutant exposure, harmful a	accumulation, or	contain spills:				
	Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A				
	•	prevent pollutant exposure, harmful a		•				
	Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A				
	-	prevent pollutant exposure, harmful a						
	Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A				
	Feature utilized to p	orevent pollutant exposure, harmful a	accumulation, or	contain spills:				
	Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Part 4: Compliance with Nonstructural Requirements of NJDEP Stormwater Management Rules

1. Based upon the checklist responses above, indicate which nonstructural strategies have been incorporated into the proposed development's design in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7-8-5.3(b):

No.	Nonstructural Strategy	Yes	No
1	Protect areas that provide water quality benefits or areas particularly susceptible to erosion and sediment loss.	X	
2	Minimize impervious surfaces and break up or disconnect the flow of runoff over impervious surfaces.	X	
3	Maximize the protection of natural drainage features and vegetation.	X	
4	Minimize the decrease in the pre-construction time of concentration.	X	
5	Minimize land disturbance including clearing and grading.	X	
6	Minimize soil compaction.	X	
7	Provide low maintenance landscaping that encourages retention and planting of native vegetation and minimizes the use of lawns, fertilizers, and pesticides.	X	
8	Provide vegetated open-channel conveyance systems discharge into and through stable vegetated areas.	X	
9	Provide preventative source controls.	X	

2. For those strategies that have not been incorporated into the proposed development's design, provide engineering, environmental, and/or safety reasons. Attached additional pages as necessary.

N/A

8., Due to the existing site development conditions and associated topography, the redevelopment of the site does not lend itself to provide stable swale conditions.

N/A